

# The Politics of International Trade and Money (PO8013)

## Course Convenors

Dr Tim Hicks

Department of Political Science,  
Trinity College, Dublin

[tim.hicks@tcd.ie](mailto:tim.hicks@tcd.ie)

<http://tim.hicks.me.uk/>

Office hours: Mondays 2-4pm (or by appointment)

Dr Jeff Weber

Department of Political Science,  
Trinity College, Dublin

[weberj@tcd.ie](mailto:weberj@tcd.ie)

<http://weberj.net/>

## Seminars

Hilary Term, Weeks 1–5

Wednesdays, 1–3pm, Room 4, College Green

Produced: January 9, 2013

The aim of this short course is to provide an introduction to the approaches that political scientists have taken to the study of trade and financial matters under the auspices of the IMF. The first three weeks focus on issues surrounding trade, moving from a focus at the national/domestic level on to the international politics of this issue — structurally and then institutionally. Those interested in international institutions should find the weeks on the GATT/WTO and then the IMF of value. We conclude with a broader debate on the relationship between globalization, nation states, and democracy.

**Recommended Text:** Thomas Oatley (2008). *International Political Economy: Interests and Institutions in the Global Economy*. Fourth. Pearson

You may find it interesting and/or helpful to read an introductory overview of the sub-field of IPE. To that end, I suggest:

- Thomas Oatley (2008). *International Political Economy: Interests and Institutions in the Global Economy*. Fourth. Pearson, Chapter 1
- David A. Lake (2006). “International Political Economy: A Maturing Interdiscipline”. In: *The Oxford Handbook of Political Economy*. Ed. by Barry R. Weingast and Donald A. Wittman. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press. Chap. 42, pp. 757–777.  
URL: <http://dss.ucsd.edu/~dlake/documents/LakeOxfordHandbookofPEproofs.pdf>

## Assessment

### 10% — Class participation

Class participation requires contributing to class discussion, including listening to others, and having questions for discussion, demonstrating that contributions are based on a thorough understanding and detailed knowledge of all the required readings for the week.

### 30% — Response papers

Students are required to write **two response papers** over the course. Response papers are not summaries, but demonstrate an understanding and provide a critique of, or response to, the week's readings. They can be written about either one or several of the week's required readings (and, if desired, additional readings, but not to the exclusion of the required readings). Response papers should be at least one but no more than three double-spaced pages long and must be submitted through turnitin.com by midday (Irish Time) on the Tuesday preceding the Wednesday class. When you submit a response paper, you are also particularly expected to actively participate in the discussion that week and the grade for the response paper will take this into account. You should come to the seminar expecting to talk about the views expressed in the response paper of your own volition, or as a result of explicit questioning from me.

### 60% — End of module assignment

Note that **there are two possible end of module assignments**. Which you must complete depends on whether you take this module in combination with 'The Politics of Foreign Aid and Investment' (PO8011) or not. Specifically,

- If taking this module (PO8013) **without** PO8011, you must complete the 'Critical Literature Review' assignment (detailed below).
- If taking this module (PO8013) **and** PO8011, you must complete **one** 'Research Design Paper' assignment (detailed below), which will constitute your 'end of module assignment' grade for **both** modules.

Note that word counts do not include the bibliography but do include all other words (e.g. footnotes etc). Please include a word count on your submitted work. Late work will be penalized at a rate of 5 points per day late. Late submissions will be excused if backed by a medical certificate or other compelling evidence.

### **Critical Literature Review (approx. 2,000 words)**

**Due midday Thursday February 21st**

Students should choose one theme from the course and write a paper offering a critical review of the literature. This should be based on required and further readings, with additional readings if desired. Beyond a summary of the state of the literature, this should also include analysis of contradictions both within and between papers, methodological short-comings, and conceptual discrepancies. In developing such critiques, you will likely find some arguments or evidence more persuasive than others. Outlining your reasoning for such conclusions will tend to raise your grade. Should you wish, you may also use the essay to develop suggestions for possible research designs that may help to rectify any of the shortcomings that you perceive in the literature. Note that while the course is divided into five distinct weeks, several of the topics have considerable overlap with each other and, while you are not required to draw from the readings of multiple weeks, you are certainly not constrained not to. Indeed, you are welcome to draw from readings you have covered in other modules in the programme, as well.

### **Research Design Paper (approx. 3,500 words)**

**Due midday Thursday April 11th**

Students should write a paper that develops or applies a theoretical framework that is then used to produce hypotheses. Substantively, this can be related to the topics covered in either PO8011 or PO8013, or both. The testing of these hypotheses should then be discussed in detail. Conceptually, what sort of data could be used to falsify the theory? Practically, what sort of data is (or is likely to be) available that might serve this purpose? Would this data allow for causal claims, or merely show correlation? Finally, while completion of the proposed empirical work is not necessary, illustrations of the plausibility (or otherwise) of the argument are likely to be helpful to clarify both theoretical and research design aspects of the paper. *It is strongly suggested that students contact either Tim Hicks or Jeff Weber no later than the end of week 9 to discuss this assignment.*

### **Plagiarism**

You are reminded of your obligations as a student at Trinity College to avoid plagiarism and respect the highest standards of academic honesty. This is particularly important in terms of reviewing academic literature where it is important that the review is based on your own reading and assessment of any literature discussed.

## Turnitin

All written work must be submitted via <http://www.turnitin.com/>. This makes it easier to manage submissions, as well as taking advantage of a plagiarism detector. In order to submit your essay on <http://www.turnitin.com/>, you need to register yourself with <http://www.turnitin.com/> registration for the module *separately for both Tim's classes and Jeff's classes*. (Please submit response papers for Koji's week to Jeff's class.)

Class Name: Tim's Classes

Course ID: 5946724

Enrollment Password: trader

Class Name: Jeff's Classes

Course ID: 5946727

Enrollment Password: trader

## Further Information

### Finding Readings

- The reading list (below) includes full bibliographic references to each article, chapter, book, or other reading. As such, you should be able to use the library services to find them yourself.
- Where available, I have also included the URL based on the DOI (standing for Digital Object Identifier) of the reading. This will link you to *one* place where the reading is published online.
- The DOI links will only provide you with access when you are connected to the TCD network. If you are off-campus, you should use the Library's off-campus facility by visiting <http://www.tcd.ie/Library/> and clicking on the link for "E-Journals only".
- Note, however, that the DOI link may *not* be the place through which the TCD subscription provides access. (This is not in my control.) If this occurs, you will often find that <http://www.jstor.org/> will work for you, subject to you being on-campus or having explicitly signed-in to the Library's off-campus service.
- Again, where available, I have also tried to provide URLs for readings that are not constrained by off-campus and/or login restrictions. This is often via the web sites of the authors of the readings and will tend to be the easiest way of retrieving items.

- Some readings may only be available in hard copy. In these cases, I will endeavour to make them available as scanned PDFs via the module's Blackboard site found via <http://mymodule.tcd.ie/>. These are marked with the ➡ symbol in the reading list.
- Some of the readings listed below are a little more challenging. I have marked these with the \* symbol.
- Some of the 'readings' in the 'Short/Popular' category are actually podcasts or other types of audio or video. I have marked these with the “” symbol.

### **Acknowledgements**

We are extremely grateful to the following for their help in preparing this course and reading suggestions: Tessy Huss, Justin Leinaweaver, and Will Phelan.

## Week 1 (Jan 16): The Domestic Politics of Trade — Tim Hicks

In this first week, we will focus on how and why the issue of international trade (in goods) can be important in terms of domestic politics. In order to do this, a little economic theory is necessary, which comes from Oatley (2008, Chapter 3). Bradsher and Cardwell (2012) provide a recent news article that exhibits examples of several aspects of the politics of trade nearly in one story. Can you see what they are? Rogowski (1987) and Hiscox (2001) provide most of the intellectual meat in a political science sense. Margalit (2012) introduces a slightly different dimension to matters relating to individual-level preferences over trade.

### Required Readings

- ➔ Thomas Oatley (2008). *International Political Economy: Interests and Institutions in the Global Economy*. Fourth. Pearson, Chapters 3 and 4
- Keith Bradsher and Diane Cardwell (2012). “U.S. Slaps High Tariffs on Chinese Solar Panels”. *New York Times*. A version of this article appeared in print on May 18, 2012, on page B1 of the New York edition with the headline: “Chinese Solar Panels Face Big Tariffs”.  
URL: <http://www.nytimes.com/2012/05/18/business/energy-environment/us-slaps-tariffs-on-chinese-solar-panels.html>
- Ronald Rogowski (1987). “Political Cleavages and Changing Exposure to Trade”. *American Political Science Review* 81.4, 1121–1137.  
DOI: 10.2307/1962581.  
URL: <http://cc.sjtu.edu.cn/G2S/eWebEditor/uploadfile/20120415134144015.pdf>
- Michael J. Hiscox (2001). “Class Versus Industry Cleavages: Inter-Industry Factor Mobility and the Politics of Trade”. *International Organization* 55.1, pp. 1–45.  
DOI: 10.1162/002081801551405.  
URL: <http://www.people.fas.harvard.edu/~hiscox/HiscoxI001.pdf>
- Yotam Margalit (2012). “Lost in Globalization: International Economic Integration and the Sources of Popular Discontent”. *International Studies Quarterly* 56.3, pp. 484–500.  
DOI: 10.1111/j.1468-2478.2012.00747.x

### Recommended Readings

- Paul Krugman (Mar. 1996). “Ricardo’s Difficult Idea”. Paper for Manchester conference on free trade.  
URL: <http://www.pkarchive.org/trade/ricardo.html>

- Michael J. Hiscox (1999). “The Magic Bullet? The RTAA, Institutional Reform, and Trade Liberalization”. *International Organization* 53.04, pp. 669–698.  
DOI: 10.1162/002081899551039.  
URL: <http://www.people.fas.harvard.edu/~hiscox/HiscoxI099.pdf>
- Robert E. Baldwin and Christopher S. Magee (2000). “Is Trade Policy for Sale?: Congressional Voting on Recent Trade Bills”. *Public Choice* 105.1, pp. 79–101.  
DOI: 10.1023/A:1005121716315
- Kenneth F. Scheve and Matthew J. Slaughter (2001). “What determines individual trade-policy preferences?” *Journal of International Economics* 54.2, pp. 267–292
- Helen V. Milner and Benjamin Judkins (2004). “Partisanship, Trade Policy, and Globalization: Is There a Left-Right Divide on Trade Policy?” *International Studies Quarterly* 48.1, 95–120.  
DOI: 10.1111/j.0020-8833.2004.00293.x
- Anna Maria Mayda and Dani Rodrik (2005). “Why are some people (and countries) more protectionist than others?” *European Economic Review* 49.6, pp. 1393–1430.  
DOI: 10.1016/j.euroecorev.2004.01.002
- Kevin H. O’Rourke and Alan M. Taylor (2006). “Democracy and Protectionism”. NBER Working Paper no. 12250.  
URL: <http://www.nber.org/papers/w12250>
- Jeffrey W. Ladewig (2006). “Domestic Influences on International Trade Policy: Factor Mobility in the United States, 1963 to 1992”. *International Organization* 60.01, pp. 69–103.  
DOI: 10.1017/S0020818306060036
- Peter A. Gourevitch (1986). *Politics in Hard Times*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press
- Stephanie J. Rickard (2012b). “Electoral Systems, Voters’ Interests and Geographic Dispersion”. *British Journal of Political Science* 42.04, pp. 855–877.  
DOI: 10.1017/S0007123412000087
- Stephanie J. Rickard (2012a). “A Non-Tariff Protectionist Bias in Majoritarian Politics: Government Subsidies and Electoral Institutions<sup>1</sup>”. *International Studies Quarterly* 56.4, pp. 777–785.  
DOI: 10.1111/j.1468-2478.2012.00760.x
- Benjamin O. Fordham and Katja B. Kleinberg (2012). “How Can Economic Interests Influence Support for Free Trade?” *International Organization* 66.02, pp. 311–328.  
DOI: 10.1017/S0020818312000057



- Xiaobo Lü, Kenneth Scheve, and Matthew J. Slaughter (2012). “Inequity Aversion and the International Distribution of Trade Protection”. *American Journal of Political Science* 56.3, pp. 638–654.  
DOI: 10.1111/j.1540-5907.2012.00589.x
- Kishore Gawande and Christopher Magee (2012). “Free Riding and Protection for Sale”. *International Studies Quarterly* 56.4, pp. 735–747.  
DOI: 10.1111/j.1468-2478.2012.00745.x
- Charles R. Hankla and Daniel Kuthy (2013). “Economic Liberalism in Illiberal Regimes: Authoritarian Variation and the Political Economy of Trade”. *International Studies Quarterly*. Forthcoming.  
DOI: 10.1111/j.1468-2478.2012.00753.x

## Week 2 (Jan 23): The International Politics of Trade — Tim Hicks

This week, we build on our understanding of the politics of trade by studying how it impinges on the interactions *between* countries, rather than within them. Oatley (2008) provides background on the international trade system. Krugman (1993) offers a somewhat polemical account of the motivations for NAFTA. The remaining readings discuss how and why various trade agreements and systems have been created.

### Required Readings

- ➔ Thomas Oatley (2008). *International Political Economy: Interests and Institutions in the Global Economy*. Fourth. Pearson, chapter 2
- Paul Krugman (1993). “The Uncomfortable Truth about NAFTA: It’s Foreign Policy, Stupid”. *Foreign Affairs* November/December.  
URL: <http://www.pkarchive.org/trade/ForeignPolicyStupid.html>
- Stephen D. Krasner (1976). “State Power and the Structure of International Trade”. *World Politics* 28.3, 317–347.  
DOI: 10.2307/2009974.  
URL: <http://www.indiana.edu/~gradipe/docs/krasner.pdf>
- Robert E. Baldwin and Richard E. Baldwin (1996). “Alternate approaches to the political economy of endogenous trade liberalization”. *European Economic Review* 40.3-5, pp. 775–782.  
DOI: 10.1016/0014-2921(95)00089-5
- Edward D. Mansfield, Helen V. Milner, and Peter B. Rosendorff (2000). “Free to Trade: Democracies, Autocracies, and International Trade”. *American Political Science Review* 94.2, 305–321.  
DOI: 10.2307/2586014

### Recommended Readings

- Robert D. Putnam (1988). “Diplomacy and Domestic Politics: The Logic of Two-Level Games”. *International Organization* 42.3, 427–460
- Helen V. Milner (1999). “The Political Economy of International Trade”. *Annual Review of Political Science* 2, pp. 91–114.  
DOI: 10.1146/annurev.polisci.2.1.91

- Judith L. Goldstein, Douglas Rivers, and Michael Tomz (2007). “Institutions in International Relations: Understanding the Effects of the GATT and the WTO on World Trade”. *International Organization* 61.01, pp. 37–67.  
DOI: 10.1017/S0020818307070014
- Thomas Sattler and Thomas Bernauer (2011). “Gravitation or discrimination? Determinants of litigation in the World Trade Organisation”. *European Journal of Political Research* 50.2, pp. 143–167.  
DOI: 10.1111/j.1475-6765.2010.01924.x
- James Ashley Morrison (2012). “Before Hegemony: Adam Smith, American Independence, and the Origins of the First Era of Globalization”. *International Organization* 66.03, pp. 395–428.  
DOI: 10.1017/S0020818312000148

## Week 3 (Jan 30): The GATT and The WTO — Koji Kagotani

### Required Readings

- Kyle Bagwell and Robert W. Staiger (1999). “An Economic Theory of GATT”. *American Economic Review* 89.1, pp. 215–248.  
DOI: 10.1257/aer.89.1.215
- Marc L. Busch (2000). “Democracy, Consultation, and the Paneling of Disputes under GATT”. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 44.4, pp. 425–446.  
DOI: 10.1177/0022002700044004002
- Judith L. Goldstein, Douglas Rivers, and Michael Tomz (2007). “Institutions in International Relations: Understanding the Effects of the GATT and the WTO on World Trade”. *International Organization* 61.01, pp. 37–67.  
DOI: 10.1017/S0020818307070014
- Edward D. Mansfield and Eric Reinhardt (2003). “Multilateral Determinants of Regionalism: The Effects of GATT/WTO on the Formation of Preferential Trading Arrangements”. *International Organization* 57.04, pp. 829–862.  
DOI: 10.1017/S0020818303574069
- Edward D. Mansfield and Eric Reinhardt (2008). “International Institutions and the Volatility of International Trade”. *International Organization* 62.04, pp. 621–652.  
DOI: 10.1017/S0020818308080223
- Eric Reinhardt (2001). “Adjudication without Enforcement in GATT Disputes”. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 45.2, pp. 174–195.  
DOI: 10.1177/0022002701045002002

### Recommended Readings

- Chad P. Bown (Aug. 2004). “On the Economic Success of GATT/WTO Dispute Settlement”. *Review of Economics and Statistics* 86.3, pp. 811–823.  
DOI: 10.1162/0034653041811680
- Chad P. Bown (2005). “Participation in wto Dispute Settlement: Complainants, Interested Parties, and Free Riders”. *World Bank Economic Review* 19.2, pp. 287–310.  
DOI: 10.1093/wber/lhi009
- Chad P. Bown and Bernard M. Hoekman (2005). “WTO Dispute Settlement and the Missing Developing Country Cases: Engaging the Private Sector”. *Journal of Interna-*

*tional Economic Law* 8.4, pp. 861–890.

DOI: 10.1093/jiel/jgi049

- Marc L. Busch and Eric Reinhardt (2006). “Three’s a Crowd: Third Parties and WTO Dispute Settlement”. *World Politics* 58.03, pp. 446–477.  
DOI: 10.1353/wp.2007.0000
- Dani Rodrik (1995). “Political economy of trade policy”. In: *Handbook of International Economics*. Ed. by Gene M. Grossman and Kenneth Rogoff. Vol. Volume 3. Elsevier. Chap. 28, pp. 1457–1494.  
DOI: 10.1016/S1573-4404(05)80008-5
- B. Peter Rosendorff (2005). “Stability and Rigidity: Politics and Design of the WTO’s Dispute Settlement Procedure”. *American Political Science Review* 99.03, pp. 389–400.  
DOI: 10.1017/S0003055405051737
- Andrew K. Rose (Mar. 2004). “Do We Really Know That the WTO Increases Trade?” *American Economic Review* 94.1, pp. 98–114.  
DOI: 10.1257/000282804322970724
- B. Peter Rosendorff and Helen V. Milner (2001). “The Optimal Design of International Trade Institutions: Uncertainty and Escape”. *International Organization* 55.04, pp. 829–857.  
DOI: 10.1162/002081801317193619

## Week 4 (Feb 6): The IMF — Jeff Weber

### Required Readings

- Dani Rodrik (Mar. 2001). “Trading in Illusions”. *Foreign Policy* 123, pp. 55–62.  
DOI: 10.2307/3183155
- David D. Hale (Nov. 1998). “The IMF, Now More than Ever: The Case for Financial Peacekeeping”. *Foreign Affairs* 77.6, pp. 7–13.  
URL: 10.2307/20049125
- Randall W. Stone (2008). “The Scope of IMF Conditionality”. *International Organization* 62.04, pp. 589–620.  
DOI: 10.1017/S0020818308080211
- Bumba Mukherjee and David Andrew Singer (2010). “International Institutions and Domestic Compensation: The IMF and the Politics of Capital Account Liberalization”. *American Journal of Political Science* 54.1, pp. 45–60.  
DOI: 10.1111/j.1540-5907.2009.00417.x.  
URL: [http://web.mit.edu/dasinger/www/David%20Singer/Publications%20Website/Publication%20PDFs/singer\\_international\\_institutions\\_domestic\\_compensation\\_IMF\\_Politics.pdf](http://web.mit.edu/dasinger/www/David%20Singer/Publications%20Website/Publication%20PDFs/singer_international_institutions_domestic_compensation_IMF_Politics.pdf)
- Irfan Nooruddin and Joel W. Simmons (2006). “The Politics of Hard Choices: IMF Programs and Government Spending”. *International Organization* 60.04, pp. 1001–1033.  
DOI: 10.1017/S0020818306060334
- Adam Przeworski and James Raymond Vreeland (Aug. 2000). “The effect of IMF programs on economic growth”. *Journal of Development Economics* 62.2, pp. 385–421.  
DOI: 10.1016/S0304-3878(00)00090-0

### Recommended Readings

- M.Rodwan Abouharb and David L. Cingranelli (2009). “IMF programs and human rights, 1981–2003”. 4.1, pp. 47–72.  
DOI: 10.1007/s11558-008-9050-5
- Axel Dreher, Jan-Egbert Sturm, and James Raymond Vreeland (Oct. 2009). “Global horse trading: IMF loans for votes in the United Nations Security Council”. *European Economic Review* 53.7, pp. 742–757.  
DOI: 10.1016/j.euroecorev.2009.03.002

- Erica R. Gould (2003). “Money Talks: Supplementary Financiers and International Monetary Fund Conditionality”. *International Organization* 57.03, pp. 551–586.  
DOI: 10.1017/S0020818303573039
- Robert J. Barro and Jong-Wha Lee (Oct. 2005). “IMF programs: Who is chosen and what are the effects?” *Journal of Monetary Economics* 52.7, pp. 1245–1269.  
DOI: 10.1016/j.jmoneco.2005.04.003
- Mark S. Copelovitch (2010). “Master or Servant? Common Agency and the Political Economy of IMF Lending”. *International Studies Quarterly* 54.1, pp. 49–77.  
DOI: 10.1111/j.1468-2478.2009.00577.x.  
URL: [http://www.cerium.ca/IMG/pdf/copelovitch\\_2010.pdf](http://www.cerium.ca/IMG/pdf/copelovitch_2010.pdf)
- James Vreeland (2008). *The International Monetary Fund: Politics of Conditional Lending*. New York, NY: Routledge, Chapters 1–4
- Bessma Momani (Apr. 2004). “The IMF, the U.S. War on Terrorism, and Pakistan”. *Asian Affairs: An American Review* 31.1, pp. 41–51.  
DOI: 10.3200/AAFS.31.1.41-51
- Stanley Fischer (July 1998). “In Defense of the IMF: Specialized Tools for a Specialized Task”. *Foreign Affairs* 77.4, pp. 103–106.  
DOI: 10.2307/20048970
- Kenneth Rogoff (Jan. 2003). “The IMF Strikes Back”. *Foreign Policy* 134, pp. 39–46.  
DOI: 10.2307/3183520

## Week 5 (Feb 13): “The inescapable trilemma of the world economy”? — Tim Hicks

We conclude this module by examining the recent, and prominent, claim by Rodrik (2011) that there is a fundamental incompatibility between globalization, states, and democracy. Garrett (1998) and Mosley (2000) provide some evidence relating to this theme. O’Rourke (2011) uses Rodrik’s argument in the context of the EU.

### Required Readings

- ➡ Dani Rodrik (2011). *The Globalization Paradox: Why Global Markets, States, and Democracy Can’t Coexist*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press, Chapters 9, 10, and 12
- Geoffrey Garrett (1998). “Global Markets and National Politics: Collision Course or Virtuous Circle?” *International Organization* 52.4, pp. 787–824.  
DOI: 10.1162/002081898550752.  
URL: [http://www.wiso.uni-hamburg.de/uploads/media/10\\_Garrett\\_1998\\_01.pdf](http://www.wiso.uni-hamburg.de/uploads/media/10_Garrett_1998_01.pdf)
- Layna Mosley (2000). “Room to Move: International Financial Markets and National Welfare States”. *International Organization* 54.4, pp. 737–773.  
DOI: 10.1162/002081800551352.  
URL: <http://www.unc.edu/~lmosley/mosleyIO2000.pdf>
- Kevin H. O’Rourke (Mar. 2011). “A Tale of Two Trilemmas”. IIS Discussion Paper No. 364.  
URL: <https://www.tcd.ie/iiis/documents/discussion/pdfs/iiisd364.pdf>
- David Lizoain (2012). *Catalonia and the Trilemma*. Published online by ‘Social Europe Journal’, 2012/10/09.  
URL: <http://www.social-europe.eu/2012/10/catalonia-and-the-trilemma/>

### Recommended Readings

- Dani Rodrik (1998). “Why Do More Open Economies Have Bigger Governments?” *Journal of Political Economy* 106.5, pp. 997–1032.  
DOI: 10.1086/250038.  
URL: <http://www.grips.ac.jp/teacher/oono/hp/docu01/paper09.pdf>
- Dani Rodrik (2000). “How Far Will International Economic Integration Go?” *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 14.1, pp. 177–186.  
DOI: 10.1257/jep.14.1.177



- Dani Rodrik (2002). “Feasible Globalizations”. NBER Working Paper No. 9129.  
URL: <http://www.nber.org/papers/w9129>
- Dani Rodrik (June 2007). *The inescapable trilemma of the world economy*.  
URL: [http://rodrik.typepad.com/dani\\_rodriks\\_weblog/2007/06/the-inescapable.html](http://rodrik.typepad.com/dani_rodriks_weblog/2007/06/the-inescapable.html)
- Suzanne Berger (2000). “Globalization and Politics”. *Annual Review of Political Science* 3.1, 43–62.  
DOI: 10.1146/annurev.polisci.3.1.43
- Michael Huberman (2012). *Odd Couple: International Trade and Labor Standards in History*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press